Vol 29 # 30

PLEASANT RIDGE NEWSLETTER

בס"ד

תשע"ט



A Kehilas Prozdor Publication

c) 1990-2018 Rabbi Leibie Sternberg	(Monsey/Spring Valley Z'manim)			פרשת: תול דות	
http://www.prozdor.com	Candles	Mincha	Daf Yomi	Shachris	סזק"ש
Friday	4:25	4:35			9:08
Shabbo	os 1	1:45/4:25	3:50	9:00	9:09
Sunday	1	4:35	5:10	8:00	9:10

IMPORTANCE OF

The Mishna (Kidushin 82a) states that Avraham (and apparently the Avos etc..) observed the Torah before it was given, based on: אטר שמע אברהם בקלי וישמר משמרתי מצותי חקותי ותורתי. The Maharatz Chiyos (Taanis 4a) suggests that notwithstanding, Avraham married Hagar, who was a Shifcha, Yaakov married two sisters, Amram married his aunt Yocheved and Shimon married his sister Dina (according to some opinions), because when it came to marriage, they did not restrict themselves. The MaHaral (Gur Aryeh – VaYigash) explains that when Chazal stated that the Avos fulfilled the Torah before it was given, it meant that they did so only where it did not interfere with mitzvos that they were specifically instructed in. Since the *mitzvah* to procreate (פרו ורבו) was given to *Bnei Noach*, the *Avos* were permitted to ignore the Torah restrictions of Arayos in order to fulfill that obligation. Obviously, it would have been technically possible to fulfill that obligation without violating the Torah's Arayos restrictions. However, there would have also likely been an element of Ruach HaKodesh involved, to guide the birth of the 12 Shevatim, or the exceptional children born to Amram which could only have taken place using their special mothers, despite being Arayos from the *Torah*'s perspective. The *Gemara* (*ibid* 76b) derives that a *Dayan* cannot be a mamzer from the Posuk: והתיצבו שם עמך, explaining that עמך teaches us that a Dayan must be like Moshe, who was not a mamzer. Igros Moshe (אהע"ז 4:9:8) asks why Moshe was not a mamzer if his father had married an aunt, and answers that the Arayos restrictions are not per se physical objects of איסור (such as non-kosher meat) but rather circumstantial, and are only applicable when the concept of ערוה exists. The notion of Ervah came into being only after Matan Torah, so any earlier marriages were not restricted by the *Torah*'s *Arayos* rules.

QUESTION OF THE WEEK:

Where do we find that a boy begins to put on *Tefillin* when he turns twelve?

ANSWER TO LAST WEEK:

(Which Ger, converted as a child, cannot be מוחה at his Bar Mitzvah?)

The Chasam Sofer (מ"ד) 253) rules that a child Ger may object at his Bar Mitzvah and undo the conversion only where neither of his parents converted with him. However, if a parent converted with him, the child's conversion is a אכות for him, since his parent will continue to support him. As such, he may not be מוחדה later.

DIN'S CORNER:

When saying *Shema*, one must stress the letter "Yud" of משראל and "Zayin" in the word "תזכרו" so that it does not sound like "תשקרו" ["you will lie"] or "תשקרו" ["you will be hired / paid"], which would make one appear like a servant who serves in order to receive a reward. One must also stress the "Zayin" in the word "וזכרתם". One must also be careful with all other words in Shema, not to slur over a letter or let it sound like another. (MB 61:32)

DID YOU KNOW THAT

The Gemara (Moed Katan 21a) states that an Aveil is forbidden to study Torah, Mishna, Gemara etc.. during the Aveilus period. There is some discussion among the *Rishonim* regarding those non-joyous areas of Torah such as Iyov, Eichah and the tragic portions of Yirmiyahu, that are permitted on Tisha B'Av when Torah study is also restricted. The stricter opinions who forbid even those areas of study rely on the Posuk: האנק דם (grieve and be silent), which restricts any kind of casual speech. As such, it is not the פקודי ד' ישרים משמחי לב joy aspect of Torah study that restricts it during Aveilus, but rather the requirement to remain silent. As such one would have to conclude that there is no Talmud Torah obligation on the Aveil to study Torah the way that there is an obligation on everyone else. However, according to those opinions that permit study of the "Tisha B'Av" subjects during Aveilus, is there an obligation to study them, or is it simply permission? The Chacham Tzvi (100) states that the only reason an Aveil may not engage in regular Torah study is because he was given the "Tisha B'Av" subjects with which to fulfill the mitzvah of *Talmud Torah*. As proof, he notes that on *Yom* Tov, since one may not study the sad "Tisha B'Av" subjects, an Aveil is permitted to study all Torah subjects, so as not to sit בטל, implying that there is an obligation. Others question the premise that the Rabbinic Halachos of Aveilus could abrogate the Torah's obligation of Talmud Torah in the first place. The Shulchan Aruch (ד"ד 384:4) rules that an Aveil may study the "Tisha B'Av" subjects during Aveilus. Rashi comments on the words of Eisav: that the Midreshai Agadah יקרבו ימי אבל אבי ואהרגה את יעקב אחי have several explanations for this. One suggestion proposes that Eisav's plan was to wait until he and Yaakov were sitting Shiva on the Petirah of Yitzchok, when Yaakov would not be permitted to study *Torah*, and thus be unprotected, leaving him vulnerable to Eisav's murderous intent. Apparently, Eisav held like the strict opinions who advised an Aveil to remain silent.

A Lesson Can Be Learned From:

R' Yechezkel Landau, author of the Noda BiYehuda, as the Rav in Prague, was beset by many challenges against the Torah and against his authority, which was often the same. One wealthy Jew in Prague decided he wanted to marry a divorced woman, despite his being a Kohen. Understandably, R' Landau's Beis Din forbade it and issued warnings that no one should provide any assistance to this Chilul HaShem. The wealthy "groom" campaigned within the government for assistance and was successful in securing an order from the Empress Marie Theresa instructing the Beis Din to go forward with the wedding. Upon receiving the order, the Noda BiYehuda announced that he himself would be Mesader Kidushin. The wedding date arrived and a lavish affair was arranged, attended by all the wealthy people in Prague. As the groom took the ring in his hand, R' Landau said to him "Now repeat after me, word for word: ז' בדת מלכה מריא תיריזיה", which the groom faithfully repeated, "בדת מלכה מריא תיריזיה". As the crowd expressed surprise, R' Landau turned to them and said: "Why are you surprised? "בדת מלכה מריא תיריזיה" this marriage would be forbidden. But according to the Empress, they can marry!" The embarrassed crowd slowly left the hall, and even the Chasan decided to break the Shidduch.

P.S. Shalosh Seudos sponsored this week by the Sternberg family.

This issue is dedicated: